1 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SELINA KEENE, et al.,) Case Number: 4:22-cv-01587-JSW
Plaintiffs,)) PLAINTIFFS' [MODEL] ORDER RE:) DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY
vs.	STORED INFORMATION FORSTANDARD LITIGATION
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.,)))
)
Defendants.)

1. PURPOSE

This Order will govern discovery of electronically stored information ("ESI") in this case as a supplement to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court's Guidelines for the Discovery of Electronically Stored Information, and any other applicable orders and rules.

2. COOPERATION

The parties are aware of the importance the Court places on cooperation and commit to cooperate in good faith throughout the matter consistent with this Court's Guidelines for the Discovery of ESI.

3. LIAISON

The parties have identified liaisons to each other who are and will be knowledgeable about and responsible for discussing their respective ESI. Each e-discovery liaison will be, or have access to those who are, knowledgeable about the technical aspects of e-discovery, including the location, nature, accessibility, format, collection, search methodologies, and production of ESI in this matter. The parties will rely on the liaisons, as needed, to confer about ESI and to help resolve disputes without court intervention.

4. PRESERVATION

The parties have discussed their preservation obligations and needs and agree that preservation of potentially relevant ESI will be reasonable and proportionate. To reduce the costs and burdens of preservation and to ensure proper ESI is preserved, the parties agree that:

- a) Only ESI created or received between 12/01/1999 to current date will be preserved;
- b) The parties have exchanged a list of the types of ESI they believe should be preserved and the custodians, or general job titles or descriptions of custodians, for whom they believe ESI should be preserved, e.g., "HR head," "scientist," and "marketing manager." The parties shall add or remove custodians as reasonably necessary;
- c) The parties have agreed/will agree on the number of custodians per party for whom ESI will be preserved;
- d) These data sources are not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2)(B) and ESI from these sources will be preserved but not searched, reviewed, or produced: [e.g., systems no longer in use that cannot be accessed];
- e) Among the sources of data the parties agree are not reasonably accessible, the parties agree not to preserve the following: [e.g., backup media created before 12/01/1999)
- f) In addition to the agreements above, the parties agree data from these sources (a) could contain relevant information but (b) under the proportionality factors, should not be preserved: TBD.

5. SEARCH

The parties agree that in responding to an initial Fed. R. Civ. P. 34 request, or earlier if appropriate, they will meet and confer about methods to search ESI in order to identify ESI that is subject to production in discovery and filter out ESI that is not subject to discovery.

6. PRODUCTION FORMATS

The parties agree to produce documents in $x \square PDF$, $\square TIFF$, $\square native and/or <math>\square paper$ or a combination thereof (check all that apply)] file formats. If particular documents warrant a different format, the parties will cooperate to arrange for the mutually acceptable production of such documents. The parties agree not to degrade the searchability of documents as part of the document production process.

1	7. Pl	HASING	
2	When a party propounds discovery requests pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 34, the parties		
3	agree to phase the production of ESI and the initial production will be from the following		
4			
5	Following the initial production, the parties will continue to prioritize the order of subsequent		
6	productions.		
7	8. D	OCUMENTS PROTECTED FROM DISCOVERY	
8 9 10 11	a)	Pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 502(d), the production of a privileged or work-product-protected document, whether inadvertent or otherwise, is not a waiver of privilege or protection from discovery in this case or in any other federal or state proceeding. For example, the mere production of privileged or work-product-protected documents in this case as part of a mass production is not itself a waiver in this case or in any other federal or state proceeding.	
12 13	b)	The parties have agreed upon a "quick peek" process pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(5) and reserve rights to assert privilege as follows N/A	
14 15 16	c)	Communications involving trial counsel that post-date the filing of the complaint need not be placed on a privilege log. Communications may be identified on a privilege log by category, rather than individually, if appropriate.	
17	9. M	ODIFICATION	
18	This Stipulated Order may be modified by a Stipulated Order of the parties or by the		
19	Court for	good cause shown.	
20	l I I	TIS SO STIPULATED, through Counsel of Record.	
21	Dated:		
22		Counsel for Plaintiff	
23	Dated:		
24	Dutcu.	Counsel for Defendant	
25			
26	II	TIS ORDERED that the forgoing Agreement is approved.	
27	Dated:		
$_{28}$			

The Honorable Jeffrey S. White